

· 临床研究 ·

经尿道前列腺电切术患者发生术后低体温的危险因素

王芳¹, 顾良友¹, 刘文杰¹, 谢艳菊¹, 巩颖¹, 侯彩平^{2*}

(中国人民解放军总医院第一医学中心:¹ 泌尿外科,² 肝胆胰外科医学部,北京 100853)

【摘要】目的 分析经尿道前列腺电切术(TURP)患者发生术后低体温的危险因素。**方法** 选取2008年1月至2021年3月于中国人民解放军总医院数据库内行TURP的患者1 193例,根据术后是否发生低体温,将患者分为2组。术后低体温组(H组)患者83例,术后正常体温组(N组)患者1 110例。比较2组患者年龄、身高、体质量、体质量指数、术前及术后血红蛋白浓度、手术时间、麻醉方法、麻醉时间、输注晶体液量、输注胶体液量及冲洗液量情况。采用SPSS 22.0软件进行数据分析。根据数据类型,组间比较分别采用t检验、 χ^2 检验及秩和检验。采用logistic回归分析发生术后低体温的危险因素。**结果** 2组间年龄、麻醉时间、麻醉方法比较,差异均有统计学意义(均 $P<0.05$),其余各指标差异均无统计学意义(均 $P>0.05$)。使用logistic回归分析发现,老龄($OR=1.041$, 95%CI 1.009~1.074)和硬膜外阻滞($OR=2.829$, 95%CI 1.594~5.023)是TURP患者发生术后低体温的危险因素($P<0.05$)。**结论** 采用数据库大样本数据分析发现,老龄和硬膜外阻滞是TURP患者发生术后低体温的危险因素。

【关键词】 全身麻醉;硬膜外阻滞;前列腺电切术;体温

【中图分类号】 R614

【文献标志码】 A

【DOI】 10.11915/j.issn.1671-5403.2022.04.055

Risk factors for postoperative hypothermia in patients after transurethral resection of prostate

WANG Fang¹, GU Liang-You¹, LIU Wen-Jie¹, XIE Yan-Ju¹, GONG Yin¹, HOU Cai-Ping^{2*}

(¹Department of Urology, ²Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, the First Medical Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing 100853, China)

【Abstract】 Objective To investigate the risk factors for postoperative hypothermia in patients after transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP). **Methods** A total of 1 193 patients who underwent TURP in our hospital from January 2008 to January 2021 were retrospectively recruited based on the clinical data from the medical database. According to the occurrence of postoperative hypothermia or not, they were divided into hypothermia group (group H, $n=83$) and normal group (group N, $n=1 110$). Age, height, body mass, body mass index, preoperative and postoperative hemoglobin level, operation duration, anesthesia method, anesthesia duration, and infused volumes of crystalloid, colloidal fluid and irrigation fluid were compared between the 2 groups. SPSS statistics 22.0 was used for statistical analysis. Data comparison between two groups was performed using t test, χ^2 test or rank sum test depending on data type. Logistic regression analysis was used to study the risk factors of postoperative hypothermia. **Results** There were significant differences in age, anesthesia method and anesthesia duration between the 2 groups (all $P<0.05$), but no statistical differences were seen in other indicators (all $P>0.05$). Logistic regression analysis found that older age ($OR=1.041$, 95%CI 1.009~1.074) and epidural nerve block ($OR=2.829$, 95%CI 1.594~5.023) were risk factors for postoperative hypothermia in TURP patients (all $P<0.05$). **Conclusion** Analysis on the large samples from medical database indicates that the risk factors for postoperative hypothermia are older age and epidural nerve block in TURP patients.

【Key words】 general anesthesia; epidural nerve block; transurethral resection of the prostate; body temperature

This work was supported by the Open Project of National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Diseases (NCRCG-PLAGH-2018007).

Corresponding author: HOU Cai-Ping, E-mail: 739679772@qq.com

前列腺增生是一种常见的男性疾病,经尿道前列腺电切术(transurethral resection of the prostate, TURP)是治疗前列腺增生的常用方式,因创伤小、手术用时短及术后恢复快,既往多采用椎管内麻

醉^[1]。随着麻醉技术与设备的发展,以及患者对舒适化医疗的需求,全身麻醉使用越来越多。研究表明不同的麻醉药物和保温条件均会对患者的体温造成影响^[2],但是大多数研究均是基于小样本的病例

数。本研究通过医院病历数据库,旨在探讨 TURP 患者发生术后低体温的危险因素。

1 对象与方法

1.1 研究对象

通过中国人民解放军总医院数据库,选取 2008 年 1 月至 2021 年 3 月行 TURP 手术治疗前列腺增生患者 1 193 例,年龄 37~93 岁。本研究已获本院医学伦理委员会批准,鉴于本研究为回顾性且所有患者的个人信息均未透露,无需获得知情同意书。

1.2 方法

依据术后发生低体温与否分为术后低体温组(H 组, n=83)与术后正常体温组(N 组, n=1 110)。核心体温<36℃ 定义为低体温^[3,4]。调查的患者指标包括年龄、身高、体质量、体质量指数(body mass index, BMI)、术前术后血红蛋白浓度、手术时间、麻醉方法、麻醉时间、输注晶体液量、输注胶体液量、冲洗液量、术前核心温度及术后回病房后即刻核心温度。术前及术后所有患者测量肛温。术中保温措施:(1)维持室温在 25℃;(2)所有输注的液体以

及冲洗液均加温到 37℃;(3)患者躺至手术床后,消毒区域外的躯干以及双下肢均覆盖薄被。

1.3 统计学处理

采用 SPSS 22.0 统计软件进行数据分析。计数资料用例数(百分率)表示,采用 χ^2 检验。符合正态分布的计量资料用均值±标准差($\bar{x} \pm s$)表示,采用 t 检验;若为非正态分布则转换为等级资料,采用秩和检验; $P < 0.05$ 的指标则纳入 logistic 回归分析。logistic 回归变量筛选采用逐步回归法,变量纳入标准为 0.05,剔除标准为 0.10。 $P < 0.05$ 为差异有统计学意义。

2 结 果

2.1 TURP 患者术后低体温的单因素分析

由于手术时间、麻醉时间、输晶体液量、输胶体液量及冲洗液量为非正态分布,转换为等级资料进行统计。H 组与 N 组患者年龄、麻醉方法及麻醉时间比较,差异均有统计学意义(均 $P < 0.05$);其他指标比较,差异均无统计学意义($P > 0.05$);且体质量、手术时间、晶体液量及冲洗液量差异 $P < 0.3$ (表 1)。

表 1 TURP 患者术后低体温的单因素分析

Table 1 Univariate analysis of postoperative hypothermia in TURP patients

Item	Total(n=1 193)	Ggroup H(n=83)	Group N(n=1 110)	P value
Age (years, $\bar{x} \pm s$)	68.94±7.49	70.8±7.2	68.8±7.5	0.016
Body mass (kg, $\bar{x} \pm s$)	70.70±10.62	69.4±9.7	70.8±10.7	0.264
Height (cm, $\bar{x} \pm s$)	170.33±18.82	168.5±4.8	170.5±19.5	0.357
BMI(kg/m^2 , $\bar{x} \pm s$)	24.56±5.13	24.41±2.84	24.57±5.27	0.777
Hb(g/L, $\bar{x} \pm s$)				
Preoperative	137.81±15.88	138.91±16.46	137.71±15.83	0.564
Postoperative	127.59±16.83	127.54±18.42	127.60±16.68	0.982
Operation duration[n(%)]				0.219
0 min<operation duration≤60 min	238(19.9)	15(18.07)	223(20.09)	
60 min<operation duration≤120 min	684(57.3)	42(50.60)	642(57.84)	
120 min<operation duration≤180 min	230(19.3)	24(28.92)	206(18.56)	
181 min<operation duration≤240 min	34(2.8)	2(2.41)	32(2.88)	
240 min<operation duration≤300 min	7(0.6)	0(0)	7(0.63)	
General anesthesia/epidural anesthesia(n/n)	434/759	15/68	419/691	0.000
Anesthesia duration[n(%)]				0.021
0 min<anesthesia duration≤60 min	61(5.1)	2(2.41)	59(5.32)	
60 min<anesthesia duration≤120 min	542(45.4)	27(32.53)	515(46.4)	
120 min<anesthesia duration≤180 min	467(39.1)	43(51.81)	424(38.2)	
180 min<anesthesia duration≤240 min	96(8.0)	11(13.25)	85(7.66)	
240 min<anesthesia duration≤360 min	27(2.3)	0(0)	27(2.43)	
Crystallloid solution[n(%)]				0.152
0 ml<crystallloid solution≤1 000 ml	751(63.0)	60(72.29)	691(62.25)	
1 000 ml<crystallloid solution≤3 000 ml	442(37.0)	23(27.71)	419(37.75)	
Colloidal solution[n(%)]				0.445
0 ml<colloidal solution≤500 ml	1 186(99.4)	82(98.80)	1 104(99.46)	
500 ml<colloidal solution≤1 000 ml	7(0.6)	1(1.20)	6(0.54)	
Irrigation solutions[n(%)]				0.089
0 ml<irrigation solutions≤30 000 ml	224(18.8)	12(14.46)	212(19.10)	
30 000 ml<irrigation solutions≤60 000 ml	691(57.9)	43(51.81)	648(58.38)	
60 000 ml<irrigation solutions≤90 000 ml	236(19.8)	26(31.33)	210(18.92)	
90 000 ml<irrigation solutions≤150 000 ml	42(3.5)	2(2.41)	40(3.60)	
Preoperative morning temperature(℃, $\bar{x} \pm s$)	36.2±0.3	36.2±2.2	36.2±0.3	0.878

TURP: transurethral resection of prostate; BMI: body mass index; Hb: hemoglobin; H: hypothermia; N: normal.

2.2 患者发生术后低体温的危险因素分析

将年龄、体质量、手术时间、麻醉方法、麻醉时间、晶体液量及冲洗液量作为协变量,术后低体温作为因变量,进行 logistic 回归分析,结果发现,年龄和麻醉方法为术后低体温的危险因素。年龄越大,发生术后低体温风险性越高 ($OR = 1.041$, 95% CI 1.009~1.074, $P = 0.011$);而麻醉方法中,硬膜外阻滞是术后发生低体温的危险因素 ($OR = 2.829$, 95% CI 1.594~5.023, $P < 0.001$)。

3 讨 论

术后低体温是围术期常见并发症,可影响患者预后。研究显示,低体温可影响凝血功能,增加围术期出血风险:(1)导致麻醉药物代谢缓慢而延长患者麻醉苏醒时间;(2)引起术后寒战及躁动,增加机体耗氧量,并影响伤口愈合;(3)导致患者免疫力降低而增加术后感染率;(4)导致术后认知功能受损,甚至导致肿瘤术后复发^[5-8]。因而,预防及处理术后低体温至关重要。但在国内,对于围术期的体温监测及保护的重视程度严重不足^[9]。有研究进行了TURP患者术后低体温因素的探索,但均是基于小样本的临床研究^[10,11],而本研究首次采用大数据分析TURP患者发生术后低体温的危险因素。

大量研究显示,护理措施是影响TURP患者围术期体温的关键因素。由于手术间温度需要保持在22℃~25℃,对于麻醉状态下的患者仍为冷环境,特别是皮肤裸露时,皮肤与环境的温差易致患者热量丧失;术中输注的常温液体,以及使用大量未加温的冲洗液,均可导致患者出现低体温^[12,13]。我院手术护理规范,均采用被子覆盖患者身躯、液体加温及恒定室温等措施保护患者的体温。

术中大出血以及大量的输血输液亦是导致低体温的重要因素^[14]。当前的TURP术式成熟、创伤小、时间短,无特殊原因则不会发生大出血。由于TURP无法直接计算出血量,只能通过术前、术后的血红蛋白浓度间接推算术中出血量。本研究术中出血并不多,液体入量也不大,对患者体温影响不大。

前列腺增生患者大多是老年人,由于老年人的体温调节功能减退、基础代谢率降低,麻醉药物又能削弱机体温度调节的反应,因此老年患者在术后易出现低体温,从而导致一系列不良事件的发生,这与我们的研究结果相一致^[15,16]。

大量研究表明全身麻醉和硬膜外阻滞可通过不同体温调节机制影响术中体温的调节^[17]。在麻醉方法选择上,由于硬膜外阻滞具有操作简单及麻醉费用低等优势,早期TURP手术几乎是在椎管内麻-

醉下实施。但是由于老年患者骨质增生,韧带钙化,常导致穿刺困难或者失败,而且多数患者术前使用抗凝药物,存在椎管内麻醉禁忌证,再加上当前人们对于舒适化医疗的需求,喉罩以及短效全身麻醉药物的应用,患者麻醉手术过程体验度高,全身麻醉的使用越来越多,但是,目前尚无不同麻醉方法对TURP患者体温影响的报道^[18]。本研究发现,采用硬膜外阻滞行TURP患者较全身麻醉对TURP患者术后低体温的发生率更高。由于当前TUR的手术技术较为成熟,因而TURP的手术时间较短;并且当前使用的全身麻醉药物,如丙泊酚、瑞芬太尼及七氟烷等,起效快、半衰期短、恢复快,停药后药物代谢快,人体的体温调节功能也可快速恢复。而硬膜外阻滞从阻滞起效到阻滞平面完全恢复,一般需要3~6 h,在此期间,阻滞平面范围内的肢体血管扩张,始终处于散热状态。这可能是硬膜外阻滞下行TURP患者术后低体温发生率更高的原因^[19]。

为了减少患者术后低体温的发生,应进行精准的综合体温保护措施。首先,进行系统的术前访视,了解患者的年龄、心理状况、营养状况、手术方式及手术时间,充分评估患者术中发生低体温的危险因素和程度,制定相应的保温护理措施^[20]。其次,根据不同患者、不同麻醉方式,进行个性化处理。本研究发现,老年患者在硬膜外阻滞下行手术治疗,术后低体温的发生率较高,所以对于拟行硬膜外阻滞的患者尤其需要注意加强保暖,如维持合适室温、使用保温毯、加温冲洗液及输液加温等措施^[21,22]。对于高龄、营养状况差及心理焦虑的患者,需要加强心理疏导,术前给予营养支持,尽可能增加患者的体质量和脂肪^[23]。由于TURP常为截石位,臀部裸露在外,易引起体温下降,可为患者穿上裤套,同时需严密观察术野和冲洗液,避免弄湿被服^[24]。

本研究具有一定局限性。由于TURP术中持续冲洗导致无法计算准确的出血量和尿量,只能通过术前术后血红蛋白浓度来估算术中出血量。另外,由于本研究为回顾性研究,术中并未进行体温监测,导致本项研究无术中体温数值。

综上,由于老年患者在硬膜外阻滞下行TURP,较全身麻醉更易发生术后低体温,所以需要医护人员更加当心。但是针对不同情况采取何种保温措施,以避免围术期低体温,仍需要大量的研究。

【参考文献】

- [1] Rapisarda S, Russo GI, Osman NI, et al. The use of laser as a therapeutic modality as compared to TURP for the small prostate ≤ 40 ml: a collaborative review[J]. Minerva Urol Nefrol, 2019, 71(6): 569~575. DOI: 10.23736/S0393-2249.19.03350-2.

- [2] Lenhardt R. Body temperature regulation and anesthesia [J]. Handb Clin Neurol, 2018, 157: 635–644. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-444-64074-1.00037-9.
- [3] Torossian A, Brauer A, Hocker J, et al. Preventing inadvertent perioperative hypothermia[J]. Dtsch Arztebl Int, 2015, 112(10): 166–172. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-444-64074-1.00037-9.
- [4] Emmert A, Franke R, Brandes IF, et al. Comparison of conductive and convective warming in patients undergoing video-assisted thoracic surgery: a prospective randomized clinical trial [J]. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg, 2017, 65(5): 362–366. DOI: 10.1055/s-0036-1583766.
- [5] Yi J, Lei Y, Xu S, et al. Intraoperative hypothermia and its clinical outcomes in patients undergoing general anesthesia: national study in China [J]. PLoS One, 2017, 12(6): e0177221. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0177221.
- [6] Morozumi K, Mitsuzuka K, Takai Y, et al. Intraoperative hypothermia is a significant prognostic predictor of radical cystectomy especially for stage II muscle-invasive bladder cancer[J]. Medicine (Baltimore), 2019, 98(2): e13962. DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000013962.
- [7] Gong GL, Liu B, Wu JX, et al. Postoperative cognitive dysfunction induced by different surgical methods and its risk factors[J]. Am Surg, 2018, 84(9): 1531–1537.
- [8] De Robertis E, Kozek-Langenecker SA, Tufano R, et al. Coagulopathy induced by acidosis, hypothermia and hypocalcaemia in severe bleeding[J]. Minerva Anestesiol, 2015, 81(1): 65–75.
- [9] 章明阳, 常后婵, 梁爱群, 等. 广东省85所医院手术室围术期低体温管理的现状调查[J]. 中华护理杂志, 2020, 55(7): 1039–1044. DOI: 10.3761/j.issn.0254-1769.2020.07.015.
Zhang MY, Chang HC, Liang AQ, et al. Investigation on the status of perioperative hypothermia management in 85 hospital operating rooms in Guangdong Province [J]. Chin J Nurs, 2020, 55(7): 1039–1044. DOI: 10.3761/j.issn.0254-1769.2020.07.015.
- [10] 赵玉芳, 陈建丽, 陈春燕. 术中体温干预对经尿道前列腺电切术老年患者术后低温预防效果的研究[J]. 重庆医学, 2020, 49(2): 339–342. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1671-8348.2020.02.041.
Zhao YF, Chen JL, Chen CY. Effect of intraoperative temperature intervention on postoperative hypothermia prevention in elderly patients undergoing transurethral resection of prostate[J]. Chongqing Med, 2020, 49(2): 339–342. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1671-8348.2020.02.041.
- [11] 李明, 陈红梅. 经尿道前列腺电切术中低体温的危险因素分析与防护措施[J]. 护理实践与研究, 2020, 17(1): 127–128. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-9676.2020.01.051.
Li M, Chen HM. Risk factors analysis and protective measures of hypothermia during transurethral resection of prostate[J]. Nursing Prac Res, 2020, 17(1): 127–128. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-9676.2020.01.051.
- [12] Mirza S, Panesar S, AuYong KJ, et al. The effects of irrigation fluid on core temperature in endoscopic urological surgery [J]. J Perioper Pract, 2007, 17(10): 494–497, 499–503. DOI: 10.1177/175045890701701005.
- [13] de Freitas Fonseca M, Andrade CM Jr, de Mello MJ, et al. Effect of temperature on fluidity of irrigation fluids[J]. Br J Anaesth, 2011, 106(1): 51–56. DOI: 10.1093/bja/aeq303.
- [14] van Veelen MJ, Brodmann Maeder M. Hypothermia in trauma[J]. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2021, 18(16): 8719. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18168719.
- [15] Chalari E, Intas G, Zyga S, et al. Perioperative inadvertent hypothermia among urology patients who underwent transurethral resection with either TUR or transurethral resection of the prostate method[J]. Urologia, 2019, 86(2): 69–73. DOI: 10.1177/0391560318758937.
- [16] Jo YY, Chang YJ, Kim YB, et al. Effect of preoperative forced-air warming on hypothermia in elderly patients undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate[J]. Urol J, 2015, 12(5): 2366–2370.
- [17] Ruetzler K, Kurz A. Consequences of perioperative hypothermia[J]. Handb Clin Neurol, 2018, 157: 687–697. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-444-64074-1.00041-0.
- [18] 谭军源, 张小丹, 陈丽青. 不同麻醉方式对腹部手术患者低体温发生率的影响[J]. 中国实用医药, 2016, 11(9): 196–197. DOI: 10.14163/j.cnki.11-5547/r.2016.09.138.
Tan JY, Zhang XD, Chen LQ. Influence of different anesthesia methods on the incidence of hypothermia in patients undergoing abdominal surgery[J]. Chin Pract Med, 2016, 11(9): 196–197. DOI: 10.14163/j.cnki.11-5547/r.2016.09.138.
- [19] Singh R, Asthana V, Sharma JP, et al. Effect of irrigation fluid temperature on core temperature and hemodynamic changes in transurethral resection of prostate under spinal anesthesia[J]. Anesth Essays Res, 2014, 8(2): 209–215. DOI: 10.4103/0259-1162.134508.
- [20] Jun JH, Chung MH, Jun IJ, et al. Efficacy of forced-air warming and warmed intravenous fluid for prevention of hypothermia and shivering during caesarean delivery under spinal anaesthesia: a randomised controlled trial[J]. Eur J Anaesthesiol, 2019, 36(6): 442–448. DOI: 10.1097/EJA.0000000000000990.
- [21] 王一羽, 高雪. 综合干预措施在预防老年患者术中低体温中的应用[J]. 中国老年学杂志, 2021, 41(2): 558–561. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-9202.2021.03.033.
Wang YY, Gao X. Application of comprehensive intervention in prevention of intraoperative hypothermia in elderly patients [J]. Chin J Gerontol, 2021, 41(2): 558–561. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-9202.2021.03.033.
- [22] 沈春花, 龚晚秋, 苏婉雯, 等. 充气式保温毯对经尿道前列腺电切术后老年患者体温及热舒适度的影响[J]. 当代护士(中旬刊), 2021, 28(2): 144–147. DOI: 10.19792/j.cnki.1006-6411.2021.05.056.
Shen CH, Gong WQ, Su WW, et al. Effect of forced-air warming blanket on body temperature and thermal comfort in elderly patients after transurethral resection of the prostate [J]. Today Nurse, 2021, 28(2): 144–147. DOI: 10.19792/j.cnki.1006-6411.2021.05.056.
- [23] Pich J. Intravenous nutrients for preventing inadvertent perioperative hypothermia in adults[J]. J Perioper Pract, 2020, 30(1–2): 11–12. DOI: 10.1177/1750458919883745.
- [24] Campbell G, Alderson P, Smith AF, et al. Warming of intravenous and irrigation fluids for preventing inadvertent perioperative hypothermia[J]. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2015, (4): CD009891. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009891.pub2.